(on trapositive way of rephrasing an implication rif p then & if not a then not p < contrapositive is equivalent to the original yx P(x) → Q(x) < original ¥X 7 Q(x) → 7P(x) € contrapostive XNOT a negation ex) For any integers a,b if atb \$15 then 178 or 678 Prove the claim is the by proving the contrapositive. The contrapositive is: for any integer a,b if Not (a>8 or b>8) then NOT (atb>15) for any megers a, b if (a<8 and b<9) then a+b<15. Suppose we have integers a, b where act and L<8. since a and b are integers, a <7 and b <7. Then, a 46 = 14 < 15. 50, A + 6 < 15.

only for implication claims

We want to prove claim P. (implication, ranjunction, etc.)

We create a world in which we assume P to be false. Then, we show that assumption is impossible to make, because it leads to a contradiction of algebraic facts (4=5) assumptions from problem. (a = 1.2, but a = 7) SO P must be true.

ex) There do not oxist any integers a and b such that 189+66=1

0/ \$ / > 7 3 a, b E 1 S.t. 18 9 + 66 = 1

Suppose for contradiction, the claim is false.

That is, 7)] a,b & 2 s.t. | (a + 6b = 1)

] a,b & 2 s.t. | (8a + 6b = 1)

Then, 3a+6 = 1/6

We Know a, b ∈ Z, 50 3 a+b ∈ Z. But 1/6 € Z.

this is a contradiction.

Therefore, our original claim was fine.